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This paper concerns the Stokes flow of an incompressible viscous fluid past a swarm of porous nanocylindrical particles enclosing a solid cylindrical core with Kuwabara boundary condition. An aggregate of porous nanocylindrical particles is considered as a hydro-dynamically equivalent to a solid cylindrical core with concentric porous cylindrical shell. The Brinkman equation inside the porous cylindrical shell and the Stokes equation outside the porous cylindrical shell in their stream function formulations are used. Explicit expressions for the stream functions in both regions have been investigated. The drag force acting at each nanoporous cylindrical particle in a cell is evaluated. Also, we solved the same problem by using Happel boundary condition on the hypothetical cell. In certain limiting cases, drag force converges to pre-existing analytical results, such as the drag on a porous circular cylinder and the drag on a solid cylinder in Kuwabara’s cell or Happel’s cell. Representative results are then discussed and compared for both cases and presented in graphical form by using Mathematica software.
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1. Introduction

The classical problems of the motion of objects through fluids continue to be of interest because of their applications in physical sciences and chemical engineering. A variety of physical situations arises in which the size of moving objects varies from micro-(10⁻⁶ meter) to nano-(10⁻⁹ meter) scales. The computational predictions of the relevant hydrodynamical parameters of the flow of a viscous incompressible fluid past a swarm of porous particles at nanoscale are of considerable practical and theoretical interest of many physical, engineering, and medical problems.

Happel [1, 2] and Kuwabara [3] proposed a cell model in which two concentric cylinders/spheres serve as the model for fluid moving through an assemblage of circular cylinders/spheres. The Kuwabara model assumes uniform velocity condition and vanishing of vorticity at the cell surface, whereas, Happel model assumes vanishing of shear stress instead
of vanishing of vorticity at the cell surface. An analytical study of the steady incompressible flow past a circular cylinder embedded in a porous medium based on the Brinkman model has been reported by Pop and Cheng [4]. The drag on flow past a cylinder with slip was also evaluated by Datta and Shukla [5]. The problem of Stokes flow through a swarm of spherical particles moving in arbitrary direction was studied by Dassios and Vafeas [6] by using 3D Happel model. Stokes flow past a swarm of porous circular cylinders with Happel and Kuwabara boundary conditions was discussed by Deo [7]. Recently, a new model for calculating specific resistance of aggregated colloidal cake layers in membrane filtration processes was discussed by Kim and Yuan [8].

In the present work, the problem of the Stokes flow past a swarm of porous nanocylindrical particles enclosing a solid cylindrical core with Kuwabara boundary condition is considered. The Brinkman equation for the flow inside and the Stokes equation outside the porous cylindrical shell in their stream function formulations is used. The drag force experienced by each nanoporous circular cylindrical particle in a cell is evaluated. Representative results are presented in graphical form by using Mathematica and they are compared in both cases. The Happel formulation is slightly superior because it leads to particles-in-cell that are self-sufficient in mechanical energy [9]. Special known results are then also deduced from the present analysis.

2. Statement and mathematical formulation of the problem

A primary assumption employed in this study is that a swarm of nanosized porous coaxial (along z-axis) cylindrical particles surrounding a solid cylindrical core having the same axis is hydro-dynamically equivalent to a coaxial porous cylindrical shell surrounding the solid core. Let the radius of the solid cylindrical core be \( a \) and let the radius of the concentric porous cylindrical shell enclosing the solid cylindrical core be \( b \) \((b > a)\). Further, we assume that this porous shell is enveloped by a concentric cylinder of radius \( c \) \((c > b)\) named as cell surface, and let the radius of each nano porous cylindrical particle be \( a_p \) (Figure 1). Also, we assume that the fluid is approaching towards the cell surface as well as partially passing through the composite cylinder perpendicular to the axis of cylinder (z-axis) with velocity \( U \) from left to right. The radius \( c \) of hypothetical cell is so chosen that the solid volume fraction \( \gamma \) of the swarm is equal to the solid volume fraction of the cell, that is,

\[
\gamma = \frac{\pi b^2}{\pi c^2}.
\]  

(2.1)

2.1. Governing equations

The governing equation of incompressible Newtonian creeping flow for clear fluid, that is, outside the porous cylindrical shell, is governed by Stokes equation (Happel and Brenner [10])

\[
\mu_1 \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}^{(1)} = \nabla p^{(1)}.
\]  

(2.2)

Also, we assume that the flow inside the porous cylindrical shell is governed by Brinkman’s [11] equation

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}^{(2)} - \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{b^2} \right) \mathbf{v}^{(2)} = \left( \frac{1}{\mu_2} \right) \nabla p^{(2)}.
\]  

(2.3)
Here, $\sigma^2 = \beta b^2 / k$, with $\beta = \mu_1 / \mu_2$, $\mu_1$ is the viscosity of the fluid, $\mu_2$ denotes the effective viscosity of porous medium, with $k$ being the permeability of porous medium. Since, $\sigma$ is a dimensionless number related with the permeability, therefore we called it as dimensionless permeability parameter. Here, $v^{(i)}_r$, $v^{(i)}_\theta$, $i = 1, 2$, are the velocity vector and pressure outside and inside the porous cylindrical shell, respectively.

The equations of continuity for axisymmetric, incompressible viscous fluid in cylindrical polar coordinates $(r, \theta, z)$ in both regions can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(rv^{(i)}_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (rv^{(i)}_\theta) = 0,$$

where $v^{(i)}_r$ and $v^{(i)}_\theta$, $i = 1, 2$, are components of velocities in the direction of $r$ and $\theta$, respectively. The stream functions $\psi^{(i)}(r, \theta)$ in both regions satisfying equations of continuity (2.4) may be defined as

$$v^{(i)}_r = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi^{(i)}}{\partial \theta}, \quad v^{(i)}_\theta = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi^{(i)}}{\partial r}.$$

Therefore, on elimination of pressures in both (2.2) and (2.3) and on using (2.4), we get the following fourth-order partial differential equations, respectively, as

$$\nabla^4 \psi^{(1)} = \nabla^2 (\nabla^2 \psi^{(1)}) = 0,$$

$$\nabla^4 \psi^{(2)} - \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{b^2}\right) \nabla^2 \psi^{(2)} = \nabla^2 \left(\nabla^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{b^2}\right)\right) \psi^{(2)} = 0,$$

where the Laplacian operator

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2},$$

with the macroscopic assumption of $\mu_1 / \mu_2 = 1$.  

Figure 1: The physical model and the coordinate system.
Furthermore, the expressions for tangential and normal stresses \( T_{r\theta}^{(i)} \) and \( T_{rr}^{(i)} \), \( i = 1, 2 \), respectively, are given by

\[
T_{r\theta}^{(i)} = \mu_i \left[ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^{(i)}}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi^{(i)}}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi^{(i)}}{\partial r^2} \right],
\]

\[
T_{rr}^{(i)} = -p^{(i)} + \frac{2\mu_i}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi^{(i)}}{\partial r \partial \theta} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi^{(i)}}{\partial \theta} \right].
\]

Also, the pressure may be obtained in both regions (Happel and Brenner [10]) by integrating the following relations, respectively, as

\[
\frac{\partial p^{(i)}}{\partial r} = \mu_i \left[ \nabla^2 p^{(i)} - \frac{\nu^{(i)}}{r^2} - 2 \frac{\partial \nu^{(i)}}{\partial \theta} - \delta_{21} \left( \frac{\sigma}{b} \right)^2 \frac{\nu^{(i)}}{r} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p^{(i)}}{\partial \theta} = \mu_i \left[ \nabla^2 \nu^{(i)} - \frac{\nu^{(i)}}{r^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \nu^{(i)}}{\partial r} - \delta_{22} \left( \frac{\sigma}{b} \right)^2 \frac{\nu^{(i)}}{r} \right],
\]

where \( \delta_{21} = 0 \) and \( \delta_{22} = 1 \).

A suitable stream function solution of the Stokes equation (2.6) can be expressed as

\[
\psi^{(1)}(r, \theta) = Ub \left[ A_1 r' + B_1 r'^3 + \frac{C_1}{r'} + D_1 r' \ln r' \right] \sin \theta.
\]

A particular solution of the Brinkman equation (2.7) may be written as

\[
\psi^{(2)}(r, \theta) = Ub \left[ A_2 r' + \frac{B_2}{r'} + C_2 I_1(\sigma r') + D_2 K_1(\sigma r') \right] \sin \theta.
\]

Here, \( I_1(\sigma r') \) and \( K_1(\sigma r') \) are the modified Bessel functions of the order one of the first and second kinds (Abramowitz and Stegun [12]), respectively, and the dimensionless variable \( r' = r/b \).

### 3. Solution of the problem with Kuwabara boundary condition

The boundary conditions, those are physically realistic and mathematically consistent for the problem, can be taken as given below. On the solid cylindrical core,

\[
\nu^{(2)}_r (a, \theta) = 0, \quad \nu^{(2)}_\theta (a, \theta) = 0.
\]

On the porous surface,

\[
\nu^{(2)}_r (b, \theta) = \nu^{(1)}_r (b, \theta), \quad \nu^{(2)}_\theta (b, \theta) = \nu^{(1)}_\theta (b, \theta),
\]

\[
T_{rr}^{(2)} (b, \theta) = T_{rr}^{(1)} (b, \theta), \quad T_{r\theta}^{(2)} (b, \theta) = T_{r\theta}^{(1)} (b, \theta).
\]
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On the hypothetical cell surface,

\[ v^{(1)}(c, \theta) = U \cos \theta. \]  

(3.3)

The vanishing of vorticity on the cell surface, that is, Kuwabara condition, implies that

\[ \nabla^2 q^{(1)}(c, \theta) = 0. \]  

(3.4)

### 3.1. Determination of arbitrary constants

Applying the boundary conditions given by (3.1)–(3.4) and solving the resulting equations, we get the values of all the arbitrary constants \( A_1, \ B_1, \ C_1, \ D_1, \ A_2, \ B_2, \ C_2, \) and \( D_2 \) appearing in (2.12) and (2.13).

### 4. Evaluation of drag

Integrating the normal and tangential stresses over the porous cylindrical shell of radius \( b \) in a cell yields the experienced drag force \( F \) per unit length as

\[
F = \int_0^{2\pi} (T_{rr}^{(1)} \cos \theta - T_{r\theta}^{(1)} \sin \theta) r \, d\theta = 4\pi \mu_1 U D_1, 
\]  

(4.1)

where

\[
D_1 = \frac{-4m^4 \sigma^2 [2I_1(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + \ell(1 + \ell^2)\sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + I_1(\sigma)\{ -2K_1(\sigma) + \ell(1 + \ell^2)\sigma K_2(\sigma) \}]}{\Delta},
\]

\[
\Delta = -16m^4 \ell \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + 8\ell^2 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + 16m^2 \ell^3 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) - 8m^4 \ell^3 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma)
\]

\[
+ 8m^2 \ell^3 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) - 6m^4 \ell^3 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + 3m^4 \ell^3 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + \ell^3 \sigma^3 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma)
\]

\[
- 8m^2 \ell^3 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) - 6m^4 \ell^3 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + 32m^4 I_1(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) - 2\sigma^2 I_1(\sigma)K_1(\sigma)
\]

\[
+ 8m^2 \ell^2 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) - 8m^4 \ell^2 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + 8m^4 \ell^2 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) - 8m^4 \ell^2 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma)
\]

\[
- 8m^2 \ell^2 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) - 8m^4 \ell^2 I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + 4m^4 \ell^2 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) - 8m^4 \ell^2 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma)
\]

\[
+ \ell^2 \sigma^2 I_1(\sigma)K_2(\sigma) - 8m^4 \ell^2 \sigma^2 I_1(\sigma)K_2(\sigma) + 3m^4 \ell^2 \sigma^2 I_1(\sigma)K_2(\sigma)
\]

\[
+ \ell^3 \sigma^2 I_1(\sigma)K_2(\sigma) - 4m^4 \ell^3 \sigma^2 I_1(\sigma)K_2(\sigma) + 4m^4 \ell^3 \sigma^2 I_1(\sigma)K_2(\sigma)
\]

\[
+ 2I_1(\sigma)\{ -2(1 + m^2)\sigma I_2(\sigma) + (1 + m^2)K_1(\sigma) \}
\]

\[
- 4m^4 \ell^2 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + m + 8m^4 \ell^2 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + m + 8m^4 \ell^2 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma) + m + 8m^4 \ell^2 \sigma I_2(\sigma)K_1(\sigma)
\]

\[
+ 2I_1(\sigma)\{ -2(1 + m^2)\sigma I_2(\sigma) + (1 + m^2)K_1(\sigma) \}
\]

\[
+ K_1(\sigma)\{ \sigma^2 - 4m^2 \sigma^2 + m^4 (-16 + 3\sigma^2) - 4m^4 \sigma^2 \ln m \}.
\]  

(4.2)
Also, the drag coefficient $C_D$ can be defined as

$$C_D = \frac{F}{(1/2)\rho U^2 2b} = \frac{8\pi D_i}{\text{Re}},$$

(4.3)

where $\text{Re} = 2bU/\nu_1$ is the Reynolds number, and $\nu_1 = \mu_1/\rho$ being the kinematic viscosity of fluid.

### 4.1. Deductions of some known results

#### 4.1.1. Drag on a porous circular cylinder in a cell

If $a = 0$, that is, $\ell = a/b = 0$, then cylindrical shell will reduce to a porous circular cylinder of radius $b$. In this case, we get the value of the drag coefficient $C_D$ as

$$C_D = \frac{32\pi \sigma^2 I_1(\sigma)}{\text{Re}\left\{ -4(\sigma^2(1-\gamma) - 4) + \sigma^2(-2\log(\gamma) + 1 + \gamma^2) \right\} I_1(\sigma) + 2\sigma\{\sigma(1-\gamma^2)I_1(\sigma) + 2(1-\gamma)^2 I_2(\sigma)\}}.$$  

(4.4)

where $\gamma = (\pi b^2)/(\pi c^2) = 1/m^2$ being the particle volume fraction.

A known result has been reported earlier by Deo [7] for the drag force experienced by a porous circular cylinder in a cell.

#### 4.1.2. Drag on a solid cylinder in Kuwabara cell model ($k \to 0$)

When permeability $k$ vanishes, that is, permeability parameter $\sigma \to \infty$, then the porous circular cylinder behaves like a solid cylinder of radius $b$. In this case, the value of the drag coefficient $C_D$ will become as

$$C_D = \frac{32\pi}{\text{Re}(4\gamma - \gamma^2 - 3 - 2\ln \gamma)}.$$  

(4.5)

A known result for the drag has been reported earlier by Kuwabara [3].

#### 4.1.3. Happel boundary condition

Happel assumes that on the cell surface shear stress vanishes instead of vorticity. In this case, we take the seven boundary conditions in (3.1)–(3.3) to be the same as in the previous case but in place of eighth condition (3.4), Happel boundary condition is used. Thus, vanishing of shear stress on the cell surface implies that

$$T_{\text{es}}^{(1)}(c, \theta) = 0.$$  

(4.6)

Applying the boundary conditions (3.1)–(3.3) with (4.6) and solving the resulting equations, we get the values of unknown constants appearing in (2.12) and (2.13). Therefore, we get the explicit expressions of the stream functions, and, hence, velocity distributions, pressure distributions, stress, vorticity, and the drag force may be evaluated. Instead, we report the values of the drag coefficient for the simpler cases as mentioned below.
4.1.4. Drag on a porous circular cylinder in a cell

In particular, when \( a = 0 \), that is, \( \ell = a/b = 0 \), then cylindrical shell will reduce to a porous circular cylinder of radius \( b \). Thus, the value of the drag coefficient \( C_D \) will come out as

\[
C_D = \frac{16\pi a^2 \left[ \sigma I_1(\sigma)(1 + \gamma^2) - 4\gamma^2 I_2(\sigma) \right]}{\text{Re} \left[ \sigma^2 (\gamma^2 - 1) \left[ \sigma I_1(\sigma) - 2I_2(\sigma) \right] + (8 - \sigma^2 \ln \gamma) \left[ \sigma (1 + \gamma^2) I_1(\sigma) - 4\gamma^2 I_2(\sigma) \right] \right]}.
\] (4.7)

4.1.5. Drag on a solid cylinder in Happel cell model \((k \to 0)\)

Again, if permeability \( k \) vanishes, that is, permeability parameter \( \sigma \to \infty \), then the porous circular cylinder behaves like a solid cylinder of radius \( b \). In this case, the value of the drag coefficient \( C_D \) will become as

\[
C_D = \frac{16\pi}{\left[ \text{Re} \left[ (\ln \gamma + 1) - 2\gamma^2/(1 + \gamma^2) \right] \right]'}.
\] (4.8)

which agrees with the result reported earlier by Happel [2] for the drag force experienced by a solid cylinder in a cell.
5. Conclusions

Figure 2 shows that the comparison between Happel and Kuwabara results in the porous circular cylindrical shell for various values of the particle volume fraction $\gamma$, when permeability parameter $\sigma$ varies as parameter and $\ell = 0.4$. It is seen that the variation of the drag coefficient $C_D$ is large in case of Kuwabara boundary condition in comparison to the case of Happel boundary condition. Figure 3 shows that the comparison between Happel and Kuwabara results in the porous circular cylinder for various values of the permeability parameter $\sigma$, when particle volume fraction $\gamma$ varies and $\ell = 0.4$. It is seen that the variation of the drag coefficient $C_D$ is large in case of Kuwabara boundary condition in comparison to the case of Happel boundary condition when particle volume fraction $\gamma$ varies as parameter.
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